RESEARCH QUALITY MATTERS

Ray J Paul

Emeritus/Visiting Prof Brunel University

Visiting Prof. London School of Economics

Editor, European Journal of Information Systems

Proud guest editor, CIT 2009 ITI

Discombobulator

Motivation for this talk

- Performance measurement is spreading and in some countries is pervasive
- The assumption is that quality can be measured
- I shall try to show why this is not true for research publications
- I shall explain how to publish successfully
- I shall give my views on how we might do our jobs better

Contents

- Research Quality Matters
- Journal Publications
- Journal League Tables
- □ Fun & Games; Measuring Measures
- 2009 Impact Factors
- Quality Matters
- Conclusions

Research Quality Matters

- Matters important or things about it
- When a research paper is refereed for a journal, what is being assessed by the referee?
 - Quality of the paper? (absolute)
 - Acceptability for the journal (threshold)
 - Or what? (personal to referee)
- UK Government's Research Assessment Exercise (RAE)
 - One panel 2001, 2 panels 2008

Journal Publications

- Research without dissemination is not research
- A research paper is the obvious observable output
- So it would be desirable to measure paper quality

Journal Publications

- No Criteria for Quality (except negatives)
- Peer review is conservative
- Quality research breaks the mould
- □ French revolution....!
- Good or bad is judgement...
- Fashion
- State of the world
- Reputation of the researcher

So do research publications have any value at all?

- Purpose of dissemination is to debate
- On giants' shoulders ...
- Contributions are difficult to divide up
 - Especially if refereeing involves many people many times.
- So...assessing quality of any one publication can only be a pretence!

Journal League Tables

- Assume: we can measure research quality
- Wouldn't it be nice to avoid reading the paper as well...?
- For convenience, assumepaper quality = journal quality
- Then rank journals
- Hey presto...the answer!

Journal League Tables

- □ So far, not much intellectual effort
- And easy to regulate
- So...let us control our people using journal league tables!
- You will publish so many journals per year in the following journals....

Demand Exceeds Supply

- Great competition!
- Field day for operators they may even publish something meaningful!
- This is why the RAE panels read the papers
- □ But maybe I'm too critical......
- □ Lets play journal league tables...!

Fun & Games: Measuring Measures

AIS League Table

Journal	Position in AIS league table	Relative Position
MISQ	1	1
ISR	2	2
JMIS	5	3
EJIS	11	4
JAIS	26	5
ISJ	32	6
JSIS	46	7
JIT	78	8

The 2008 Impact Factors

Journal	Impact Factor 2008	Relative Position
MISQ	5.183	1
JMIS	2.358	2
EJIS	2.261	3
JIT	1.966	4
JAIS	1.836	5
ISJ	1.660	6
JSIS	1.484	7
EJIS	1.202	8

Now some observations about EJIS

- One of two I.S. journals with most papers listed in the 2008 RAE.
- Impact Factor distorted by
 - ■Published 4 issues a year four or five years ago, about 24 papers a year or about 300 pages
 - Now 6 issues a year, about 50 papers per year in 700 pages

Some more observations....

- □ The way the Impact Factor is.
- ISJ is artificially high because it published fewer papers last year.
- JMIS is sliding down remorselessly
- □ ISR is in freefall
- □ JSIS, always slim (12-15 papers per year) therefore has a volatile impact factor

Number of papers published in last 2 years

Journal	Papers Published (PP)	Relative Position
EJIS	87	1
JMIS	84	2
MISQ	77	3
JAIS	67	4
ISR	55	5
JIT	54	6
ISJ	51	7
JSIS	32	8

Number of papers published in last 2 years times impact factor

Journal	Impact Factor	Papers	IF x PP	Relative
	(IF)	Published (PP)		position
MISQ	5.183	77	399	1
JMIS	2.358	84	198	2
ISJ	1.660	51	85	=3
JIT	1.966	54	106	=3
ISR	2.261	55	124	=3
EJIS	1.202	87	105	=3
JAIS	1.836	67	122	=3
JSIS	1.484	32	48	7

The Fiddling-with-Violins (cf Nero) League Table Combined Table of Tables...

Journal	AIS	Impact	Papers	IF x PP	RAE	Ray's	Ray's
	league	Factor	Published		papers	rank	wtd rank
	table	(IF)	(PP)				
EJIS	4	8	1	3	2	=3(18)	1(37)
JMIS	3	2	2	2	7	2(16)	2(54)
MISQ	1	1	3	1	6	1(12)	3(69)
JAIS	5	5	4	3	8	6(25)	4(101)
ISR	2	3	5	3	5	=3(18)	5(113)
JIT	8	4	6	3	1	5(22)	6(136)
ISJ	6	6	7	3	4	7(26)	7(163)
JSIS	7	7	8	7	3	8(32)	8(184)

So we can now see that.....

Most journals can be number one in a league table built to seek that outcome

But remember.....

'Quality Matters'...!

The 2009 Impact Factors

Journal.,246	Impact Factor 2008	Impact Factor 2009	Relative Position 2008	Relative Position 2009	Change In Impact Factor
MISQ	5.183	4.485	1	1	-0.3
JMIS	2.358	2.098	2	4	-0.26
ISR	2.261	1.792	3	7	-0.47
JIT	1.966	2.049	4	5	0.09
JAIS	1.836	2.246	5	2	0.41
ISJ	1.660	1.966	6	6	0.31
JSIS	1.484	2.212	7	3	0.73
EJIS	1.202	1.200	8	8	0

So we can now see that.....

 Most journals can be number one in a league table built to seek that outcome

□ But remember......

'Quality Matters'...!

So how do you write a quality paper?

4 questions....

- What story are you trying to tell the reader?
 - One story, note, not many. There than two or three major points to the story confuses readers.
 - Story written for the reader can be understood in 10 years time by the author.
 - Story written for the writer (the majority published) the authors as perplexed in 10 years time as readers are now.
- What will the reader know after reading your story that they did not know before reading the story?

The questions continued...

- Why should anyone believe you?
 - □ This is the downfall of many papers, but if not believable, then that is the end of the paper.
- What value will the reader attach to the point of the story?
 - □ If none,

The questions continued ...

- □ What is the essence of your paper in one sentence?
 - I know I said four questions, but this fifth question has nothing to do with whether your paper is published or not.
 - Answering this question readily enables readers to attach the idea to you the author, and hence is likely to push your citation index up and enhance your reputation.

The questions continued ...

- What motivated your research and the paper you have written?
- Motivation seems interesting
 - If paper interesting, might get more cited
 - Or read
- See CIT Editorial for latest version

Paul's Seven Success Factors

- 1. Integrity
 - 2. Dissemination
 - 3. Experience
 - 4.Confidence
 - 5. Quality
 - 6. Simple
 - 7. Determination

I.S. Definition

Information Systems is Information Technology In Use

□I.S .is I.T. In Use

□Is It U?

IDECQuSD!

Pronounced ID excused.....!

The 7 factors might allow us to be excused from identity problems

Maybe even accepted.....!

Final Comments

- Further reading
 - read Ray's editorials for past 5 years
- □ I have been asked to launch 3 journals by ORS
 - Performance Measurement? Any interest, see me

Living with Parkinson's Disease: Shake,
Rattle and Roll – conference desk